

## **STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW**

The Applicant thanks Examiners Zhong and Srivastava for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, Jeffrey Valley. During the interview, Applicant's attorney proposed clarifying amendments to the claims, and presented arguments distinguishing the claims from the cited references.

Examiner Srivastava agreed that Claims 1, 13, 23, 35, 41, and 48 as amended appear to overcome Mao (U.S. 6,728,965). Examiner Srivastava also stated that amended Claims 20, 30, and 32 appear to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection.

Applicant thanks Examiners Zhong and Srivastava for their time, and the amendments discussed during the interview have been incorporated in this response.